

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204/2

**CRM-M-48585 of 2021
Date of Decision:19.01.2022**

Rohit Sidhu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

2)

CRM-M-50785 of 2021

Robit Sidhu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate,
for the petitioners

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

Vide these petitions, the petitioners seek the concession of 'anticipatory bail' under the provisions of Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., upon FIR no.114, dated 25.08.2021, having been registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 21/22 of the NDPS Act, 1985.

Both petitioners in these two petitions having been admitted to interim

bail by this court vide orders dated 22.11.2021 and 06.12.2021, even with reference to Section 37(1) (b) (ii) of the NDPS Act, 1985, learned State counsel submits, on instructions from SI Ranjeet Singh, that their custodial interrogation is presently not required

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case either of the petitioners, both the petitions are allowed, with the aforesaid orders made absolute on the same terms and conditions, even in terms of the ratio of the judgment of the Supreme Court in M.C. Abraham v. State of Maharashtra (2003) 2 SCC 649.

A copy of this order be also placed on the file of the other connected matters too.

January 19, 2022
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No