

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

CRWP No.11357 of 2021
Date of Decision: January 07, 2022

Sugna

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

It has been contended by learned State counsel on instructions from Sub Inspector Jagjit Singh and also based on the reply that the petitioner has filed an affidavit mentioned threat perception to her. He further submits that despite best efforts, they were unable to contact the petitioner, because of that they could not provide any protection to her.

Given above, as and when the Police official is able to contact with the petitioner, they will analyze the threat perception and in case, the same is found, it shall be appropriate that the concerned Superintendent of Police, SHO, or any officer to whom such powers have been delegated or have been authorized in this regard, provide appropriate protection to the petitioner for one week from today. However, if the petitioner no longer requires the protection, then it may be discontinued even before the expiry of one week. After that, the concerned officers shall extend the protection on day-to-day analysis of the ground realities or upon the oral or written request of the petitioner.

This protection is subject to the stringent condition that from the time such protection is given, the petitioner shall not go outside the boundaries of the place of residence, except for medical necessities, to buy household necessities, and bereavements in the families of the close relatives or close friends. This restriction saves the petitioner from apprehended risk and ensures that the protection is not flaunted.

It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is also clarified that this order is not a blanket bail in any FIR. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case. It shall also be open for the petitioner to approach this Court again in case of any fresh threat perception.

The petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

January 07, 2022