

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CRM-M-46487-2022

Date of Decision: October 18, 2022

Rann Vijay Singh and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

Central Bureau of Investigation

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Standing counsel for CBI

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

When this case was taken up on 11.10.2022, during the course of the arguments, it transpired that trials in both the cases bearing No. CHI-178 of 2017 and CHI-175-2017, are going on at the same stage before the trial Court and this Court had only observed that the petition will be allowed to the said extent.

However, later on it was pointed out by learned counsel for CBI on verification from the concerned Court that one trial was at an defence stage whereas another was at the initial stage.

Consequently, the order was not passed and the matter was re-listed for hearing.

Today, the matter has been heard and this Court is not inclined to club the trial of two cases bearing No. CHI-178 of 2017 and CHI-175-2017 as the same are on different stages.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that based on the oral observation of the Court, he had made statement before the trial Court to take up both the matters simultaneously. Such statement should not be taken as a statement to misrepresent the Court.

The prayer is genuine and *bona fide* and consequently, the statement shall not be considered against the petitioner's counsel in any manner.

In the entirety of facts and circumstances of the case, the petition is dismissed with the aforesaid observations.

Trials be expedited.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

October 18, 2022

sonia arora

SONIA ARORA
2022.10.19 12:05
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document