

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-40365-2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 31.03.2022

RAVJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Ms. Nisha Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Premjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed seeking indulgence of this Court to issue direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who are ladies, from the hands of respondent No.3 viz. SHO, Police Station C.A. Staff Mehna, District Moga and other police officials.

Pursuant to notice of motion issued on 20.09.2019, a reply by way of an affidavit of Jangjit Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (Inv), Moga District Moga has been filed. A copy thereof has been furnished to the counsel for the petitioner. The relevant extract of the same is reproduced hereinbelow:-

1. That petitioner has no cause of action to invoke extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. No such representation (Annexure P-1) was ever received in the office of answering respondent. However, petitioner had filed the present petition with intention to pressurize the police department, as husband of petitioner No.1 and son of petitioner No.2 namely Hardev Singh @ Dev son of Amarjit Singh is habitual criminal and 9 cases (Detailed below) have

already been registered against him. All the allegations leveled by petitioner against police department are false and baseless.

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>PS</i>	<i>Under Section</i>	<i>Status</i>
<i>1</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>14.02.2012</i>	<i>City Moga</i>	<i>302/34 IPC 25/54/59 Arms Act</i>	
<i>2</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>04.08.2010</i>	<i>Sadar Moga</i>	<i>379/411/65/468/47 1 IPC</i>	
<i>3</i>	<i>161</i>	<i>03.08.2016</i>	<i>City South Moga</i>	<i>22 NDPS Act</i>	
<i>4</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>26.12.2016</i>	<i>--do--</i>	<i>323/324/427/452/1 48/149 IPC and 25/27 Arms Act</i>	
<i>5</i>	<i>96</i>	<i>03.06.2017</i>	<i>--do--</i>	<i>22/25 NDPS Act</i>	
<i>6</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>2017</i>	<i>--do--</i>	<i>307/323/336 IPC 25 Arms Act</i>	
<i>7</i>	<i>92</i>	<i>2014</i>	<i>--do--</i>	<i>323/324/506/148/ 149 IPC</i>	
<i>8</i>	<i>159</i>	<i>07.08.2019</i>	<i>--do--</i>	<i>22 NDPS Act</i>	
<i>9</i>	<i>173</i>	<i>20.07.2019</i>	<i>City Moga</i>	<i>21 NDPS Act</i>	

A perusal of the same shows that as many as 09 cases have already been registered against the petitioners and it is alleged that the petitioners are habitual of levelling allegations and complaints against the police officials.

An attempt is made to pressurize the police officials and to create an evidence in favour of Hardev Singh. Such an attempt cannot be promoted by a Court of law.

Petition is accordingly dismissed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

March 31, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*