

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-27113-2021

Date of Decision: 02.03.2022

M/s Hero Honda Motors Ltd.

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present : Mr. Rajiv Agnihotri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for issuance of a direction to refund the balance amount of Rs.31,59,684/- became due vide assessment dated 22.03.2019 (Annexure P-1) for the assessment year 2015-16 to the petitioner along with interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has moved a representation dated 23.11.2020 (Annexure P-5) and a reminder thereto dated 18.10.2021 (Annexure P-6) to the Excise and Taxation Officer-cum-Assessing Authority, Gurugram, and that he would be satisfied at this stage if in the first instance a direction is issued to respondent No.4 to decide the same by passing a speaking order within a reasonable time.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that proper course would be followed.

5. We find this to be a fair request. In the circumstances,

respondent No.4 is directed to decide the representation dated 23.11.2020 (Annexure P-5) made by the petitioner by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three weeks, in accordance with law, from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to refund, the same be defrayed to the petitioner within the said period.

6. This petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

7. Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

02.03.2022
adhikari

Whether speaking/non-speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No