

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

**CWP-23013-2022 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 10.10.2022.**

Prince Sidhu, Advocate,

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr.S.S. Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been preferred by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, invoking writ jurisdiction of this Court for seeking enhancement of the security cover provided to the petitioner in terms of order dated 22.08.2022 (Annexure P-2), passed by this Court.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that after passing of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has received threats via Whatsapp call and that the said fact stands conveyed by the petitioner to the concerned authorities. A DDR in this regard has also been registered and that a recommendation has been made by the Senior Superintendent of

Police, Pathankot, to the Addl. Director General of Police (Security), Punjab, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner further contends that despite the directions passed by this Court vide order dated 22.08.2022 that appropriate decisions on the representations submitted by the respective persons be taken and assessment of the threat perception be done by the competent authorities, no such decision is being taken as there was no time limit prescribed by this Court. He submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the respondents authorities are directed to take a decision on the representations submitted by the respective persons in terms of order dated 22.08.2022, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and he has no objection to the aforesaid prayer being accepted.

Accordingly, in view of the above, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of directing the respondent No.4 – The Addl. Director General of Police (Security), Punjab, Chandigarh, to take a decision on the representation/claim of the petitioner in a time bound manner and preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

October 10, 2022.
raj arora

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No