

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

284

CRM-M-46157-2022

Date of Decision: 11.10.2022

Nirmal Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

CBI and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. N.P. Bhardwaj, Advocate and
Ms. Kajal Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate for the respondent-CBI.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Learned counsel for the CBI has opposed the present petition on the ground that the investigation is at nascent stage.

Faced with this, learned counsel wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh in case, he is arraigned as an accused and also with liberty to travel abroad. Counsel also prayed that pendency of this FIR should not be impediment of her travelling abroad.

Given above, the petition is disposed of with the observation that pendency of this FIR shall not be impediment in the petitioner's travelling abroad, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall share her mobile number (whatsapp number) and e-mail id with the counsel for the CBI who may further pass it to concerned officer. The petitioner shall also keep GPS location 'ON' of her mobile phone during travel abroad. It is further clarified that in case, the petitioner is required for investigation, she shall return back to India without any further delay.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

11.10.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no