

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.105

**Civil Writ Petition No.23015 of 2022
Date of Decision : October 10, 2022**

Ram Niwas

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted that mutation No.39199 was entered in favour of the petitioner on the basis of ex parte civil court decree dated 24.08.2016. Subsequently, when the petitioner went to the Patwari concerned for a copy of the said mutation, he allegedly tore the page. Being an act of misconduct, the petitioner complained to the Deputy Commissioner but no action has been taken upon the complaint.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5 only.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, no reply is necessary.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the pending representation dated 31.03.2021 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, some departmental action is to be taken against the concerned Patwari, opportunity of hearing should be granted to him before passing the order.

October 10, 2022

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**