

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 9546 of 2022

Date of decision :October 11, 2022

Bindia and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present:- Mr. Jatinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Pankaj Jain, J (oral).

By way of present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners seek issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that the petitioners are in a live-in relationship. He further submits that the petitioners have moved representation (Annexure P-3) dated 16.9.2022 to respondent No.2 and at this stage they would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and decide their representation within any reasonable time.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavata, AAG., Haryana, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Though, as per the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **D. Velusamy Vs. D. Patchaiammal, 2010 (10) SCC 469**, live in relationship is a matter of fact which needs to be established by leading cogent evidence. Yet without commenting on the merits of the case, I deem

it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police,
Jind to look into the representation dated 16.09.2022 (Annexure P-3)
submitted by the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

October 11, 2022
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes**
Whether Reportable **No**