

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50576-2021

Date of decision: 24.02.2022

Paramjit Singh @ Laddu

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Bharadwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19, the instant case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The allegations against the present petitioner-Paramjit Singh @ Laddu in this 3rd regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in a case bearing FIR No.100 dated 25.05.2019 under Section 21 of the NDPS Act registered at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur are to the effect as follows:-

On 20.05.2019, on the basis of secret information, police stopped a car in which two persons including the petitioner, were riding. The car driver has disclosed their names as co-accused-Harmesh Singh and sitting next to him the petitioner-Paramjit Singh @ Laddu. On search of the car, 1 kg of heroin was got recovered. The accused/petitioner during the investigation on 27.05.2019 suffered a disclosure statement leading to recovery of another 1kg of heroin along with 9 MM Pistol with two magazine along with live cartridges.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner is behind the bars since 20.05.2019 and his co-accused has been allowed bail by this Court. The same is strongly opposed by the learned State counsel claiming that huge quantity of contraband has been recovered along with arms though fairly concedes that there is no other criminal case pending before the petitioner as per the information provided to her.

Upon appreciating the submissions of the two sides, the petitioner was initially found in joint possession with his co-accused along with 1 kg of heroin. Subsequent, during the course of interrogation another 1kg of heroin along with pistol and ammunition was got recovered. There are serious allegations

against the petitioner and the question of parity does not hold good in the case of the petitioner. More so, out of 11 prosecution witnesses, 05 has already been examined and in view of the seriousness of the allegations and heinousness of the offence, no cause for grant of bail is made out and thus, the present petition stands dismissed.

24.02.2022*Neha***(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No