

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-23378-2022

Date of Decision :12.10.2022

Sunita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the claim of the petitioner has been rejected by the respondents under Clauses 5(d) and 5(f) of the Haryana Civil Services (Compassionate Financial Assistance or Appointment) Rules, 2019 (hereinafter referred as '2019, Rules')

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to approach the respondents to show that the petitioner does not have financial means, which fact has not been taken into account while denying the said benefit hence, the present writ petition may be disposed off having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents to agitate her claim before the respondents including the grievance that the 2019, Rules are not applicable in the case of the petitioner.

Ordered accordingly.

October 12, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No