

[103] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No.3257-CII in/and
COCP-3263-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision :31.03.2022

Ajay ...Petitioner

Versus

Ms. Isha Kamboj, Secretary, HSSCRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM No.3257-CII of 2020

Prayer in the application is for revival of the contempt petition, disposed of vide order dated 29.10.2019 whereby directions had been issued to the respondent to comply with order dated 29.05.2019 in CWP No.14811 of 2019 within four weeks with liberty to the petitioner that in case directions given in the writ petition were not complied with, to apply for revival of the contempt petition.

Learned counsel for the applicant states that despite order having been passed on 29.10.2019 in contempt petition , the same has not been complied with till today.

In the light of the position noted above, the application is

AMIT KHANCHI
2022.04.02 14:44
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order

allowed and with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the

contempt petition is revived and is taken up for hearing on board today itself.

Main Case

[1] Prayer in the instant petition under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 29.05.2019 in CWP No.14811 of 2019 in case titled as Ajay vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 29.05.2019 reveals that CWP No.14811 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondent to verify the death certificates on the basis of which the petitioner be falling claimed to within the definition of Orphan and consider awarding 5 marks under the socio economic criteria to him within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and further recommend his case for appointment within next 15 days subject to genuineness of the requisite documents.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondent to do the needful, yet now the respondent has passed orders recommending the name of the petitioner for appointment to the post of male Constable, therefore, the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not

CM No.3257-CII in/and
COCP-3263-2019 (O&M)

[3]

calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts
Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

31.03.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No