DHARAM VIR
2022.10.04 07:37

114 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9566-2022
Date of Decision: 04.10.2022

SHIVANI AND ANOTHER

…Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

…Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Chopra, DAG Punjab.

*****

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from respondents No. 4 to 6.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both are major, aged 26 years and 26 years respectively. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. It has further been contended that petitioners have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 28.09.2022. Copy of photographs of marriage (Annexure P-3) and Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-4) have also been appended. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Sandeep Chopra, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 28.09.2022 has also been sent by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

Learned State counsel submits, on instructions, that the aforesaid representation has not been received by the respondent no.2, however, he has received an advance copy of the petition and he has no objection if appropriate directions are given for deciding the representation submitted by the petitioners.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition to respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

04.10.2022
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*