

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

142

CWP-1510-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.02.2022

M/S BRIGHTWAY CONTRACTOR AND DEVELOPERS

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Sheenam Kamboj, Advocate for
Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner is a contractor. It is not in dispute that the petitioner has been paid the principal amount for the work carried out by him. Through this writ petition, the petitioner prays that a direction be issued to the respondents to pay the amount of interest on the delayed payment. In the considered view of the Court, the petitioner has an effective alternative remedy, therefore, the petitioner is relegated to the alternative remedy.

Disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of.

09.02.2022

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

ASHOK KUMAR
2022.02.15 10:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE