

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50076-2021

Date of decision : 28.04.2022

Sarbjeeet Singh @ Sonu

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr.DAG., Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.39 dated 11.03.2020 under Sections 457 and 380 Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Urban Estate, District Patiala, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

Perused.

On 01.12.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that though the concession was extended to the petitioner by the Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala directing him to join the investigation within three days, however, he could not comply with the said order being away from the town as he is driver by profession. Learned counsel states that the petitioner is willing to join the investigation and recovery of the stolen articles has already been effected from co-accused.

Notice of motion for 13.12.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating

Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned State counsel who is instructed by ASI Saudegar Singh states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 01.12.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

28.04.2022
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No