

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-46690-2022

Date of Decision: 12.12.2022

Balwant Kaur

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG Punjab

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 12.10.2022, the petitioner has joined investigation and has also deposited an amount of Rs.4 lakhs, and a receipt in that regard is also produced which is taken on record as 'Mark A'. This very fact is not controverted by learned State counsel who, on instructions from ASI Harbhajan Lal, submits that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation as nothing is to be recovered from him.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted vide order dated 12.10.2022 is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

12.12.2022

V.Vishal

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No