

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-50087-2021

Date of Decision: January 05, 2022

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.B.S.Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
96	26.06.2019	Baghapurana, District Moga	15, 29 (Section 25 added later on) of NDPS Act, 1985

The petitioner, apprehending arrest in the FIR captioned above, came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 01.12.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 01.12.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Sardara Singh. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

6. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 01.12.2021 is hereby made absolute.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

January 05, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: No