

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3049 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.03.2022**

Supreet Kaur

.....Revisionist-petitioner.

Versus

Gagandeep Singh

.....Respondent.

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Shvetanshu Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

CM No.13822-CII of 2021

This application has been moved on behalf of the applicant-respondent for seeking preponement of the date of hearing in the main petition, i.e **CR No.3049 of 2021**, from 20.01.2022 to some earlier date.

From the above-discussed prayer itself, it is explicit that the instant application has become infructuous.

Resultantly, the present application stands disposed of accordingly.

CM No.13825-CII of 2021

This application has been moved on behalf of the applicant-

respondent (husband) with a prayer to dispose of the main revision petition by affording one more opportunity to the petitioner (wife) to conclude her remaining evidence at her own responsibility.

Notice in the application.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant-petitioner accepts the notice and submits that he has no objection in allowing the present application and disposing of the main revision petition, as prayed for in the same.

Resultantly, the instant application is allowed.

CR No.3049 of 2021

The revisionist-petitioner has preferred this revision petition with a prayer for setting aside the order dated 20.09.2021 (Annexure P-10) passed by the Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Karnal, in **HMA No.467 of 2018** whereby the case was adjourned to 27.10.2021 for hearing the final arguments while observing that it appeared that she (petitioner-wife) did not want to lead any evidence.

Learned counsel for the respondent-husband submits that in view of the prayer as made in **CM No.13825-CII of 2021**, the present revision petition be disposed of while affording one more opportunity to the petitioner-wife to conclude her evidence before the trial Court, with the rider that otherwise, her evidence would be closed.

Learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the instant revision petition is hereby disposed of

with a direction to the trial Court to grant only one more opportunity to the revisionist-petitioner-wife (arrayed as respondent in the petition pending before it) to conclude her evidence at her own responsibility. It is further clarified that in case of the failure of the revisionist-petitioner to do so, the trial Court shall be at liberty to close her evidence, vide its order.

The parties, through their counsel, are directed to appear before the trial Court on 18.04.2022.

March 16, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*