

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

242

CRM-M-50182-2021

Date of Decision: 05.04.2022

Sanket Jain and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 for conducting fair and impartial enquiry by constituting a Special Investigation Team.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of private respondents and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, no written response of the private respondents is required.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide his complaint dated 03.04.2021 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 7 submits that on the same allegations, a private complaint has also been filed.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed by the learned State counsel.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, District Jalandhar to decide the complaint dated 03.04.2021 (Annexure P-3) within 10 working days by passing a speaking and reasoned order.

It is clarified that while deciding the complaint dated 03.04.2021, concerned Commissioner shall also keep the submissions of respondents No.4 to 7 into notice.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

05.04.2022

Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>