

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49830-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.07.2022

Aniruddha Bahal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Advocate;
Mr. H.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate;
Mr. Chakitan V.S. Papta, Advocate; and
Mr. Yajur Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, AAG Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Rai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

This petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail has been moved by petitioner in case FIR No.216 dated 04.06.2019 under Sections 120-B, 385, 388, 389, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 476 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Udyog Vihar, District Gurugram (Challan presented under Sections 120-B, 383, 385, 388, 389, 420, 464, 465, 467, 468, 469, 471, 476, 506 and 511 IPC).

Vide order dated 29.11.2021 passed by a Coordinate Bench, *ad interim* anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 29.11.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Jaswinder Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 29.11.2021 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

27.07.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No