

248 (1st case)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26058-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 05.05.2022**

Navdeep Kaur

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karan Dhawan, Advocate,
for Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for quashing of order impugned dated 06.09.2019 (P-9), whereby, earlier regularization order of the petitioner was withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced a copy of order dated 06.03.2020 passed in COCP-4178-2019, which reads as under:-

“Learned State counsel submits that order dated 06.09.2019 operation of which was stayed vide order dated 16.09.2019, has been withdrawn, as such, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that the order dated 06.09.2019 has been withdrawn. However, he submits that directions be given to the respondents to release the salary/other benefits of the petitioner.

Vide order dated 16.09.2019, operation of the order dated 06.09.2019 was stayed and no further directions were issued, as such, this Court has not to issue any further direction in this contempt petition. The petitioner may avail other legal remedy available to him with regard to submission made by him.

This petition has been rendered infructuous and is dismissed.

Rule discharged.”

In view of the above development, learned counsel, on instructions, submits that petitioner has received the entire salary as well as other benefits and as such, present writ petition be disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

05.05.2022
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No