

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212-1

CWP-22734-2022
Date of Decision :22.12.2022

Naren Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Public Service Commission

....Respondent

212-2

CWP-22534-2022

Parul Soni

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Birinder Singh Khehar, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-22734-2022.

Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-22534-2022.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, Advocate for respondent-HPSC
in CWP-22534-2022.

Mr. Govind Tanwar, Advocate & Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for respondent-HPSC in CWP-22734-2022.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

By this common order, above mentioned two writ petitions are

being disposed of as both the writ petitions involve same question of law and similar facts.

In the present writ petitions, the petitioners have challenged the impugned order dated 22.09.2022 by which, they have been declared ineligible to compete for the post of lecturer in the subject in which they have applied.

As per the petitioners, they were fully eligible to compete for the post in question.

By an interim order, the petitioners were allowed to provisionally participate in the selection process.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as the petitioners have already participated in the selection process provisionally and their result has not been declared so far, it will be in the interest of justice that their provisional result be called for, so as to ascertain whether the prayer of the petitioners as made in the present writ petitions survives or the same has been rendered academic.

On the direction of this Court, provisional result of the petitioners has been called in a sealed cover. Same is opened and perused. As per the provisional result of the petitioners, the marks obtained by the petitioners are less than the marks secured by the last selected candidate in the category in which they are competing.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly submits that the present writ petitions may be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to avail an appropriate remedy in case they feel that they have not been evaluated

properly by the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

Sealed cover containing provisional result of the petitioners has
been returned back to the learned counsel for the respondents.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected
cases.

December 22, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No