

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRWP No. 9466 of 2022(O&M)
Date of Decision: 30th September, 2022**

Parmita Devi and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition), who are living in live-in relationship.

Learned State counsel appearing on advance notice submits that the mobile numbers of the petitioners mentioned in the petition are switched off and they are not available at the given address. The contention is that if they approach the police authorities needful would be done expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would approach the office of the SP concerned.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities, the needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

30th September, 2022

mk

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No