

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.9446 of 2022

Date of Decision: 30th September, 2022

Palak & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh & Others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present :- Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, both the petitioners seek the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5 because they (petitioners) are living in relationship. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-5) has already been moved to respondent No.2, in this regard.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, UT, Chandigarh, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-UT in advance.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation of the petitioners, i.e Annexure P-5.

Learned counsel for UT has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the intent of the fundamental right enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India which ensures the protection of life and liberty to the citizens as well as the afore-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the relationship between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh, is hereby directed to look into the above-said representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is further clarified that this order shall not be construed to be a shield to the petitioners against any civil or criminal action/proceedings already initiated or intended/contemplated to be initiated against them by any competent authority/person on account of their said relationship and permissible under the relevant provisions of law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

30.09.2022
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>