

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9464-2022

Date of Decision: 9th December, 2022

Shubham Sharma and antoher

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Iranpreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. M.S. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents No. 4 to 6 arrayed in the petition.
2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.
3. Learned State counsel has produced the statement of petitioner No.2. It is stated therein that there is no threat perception and they do not want any police protection.
4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.
5. The petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

9th December, 2022

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No