

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45683-2022

Date of decision: 22.11.2022

Mukul

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sumeet S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S.Nain, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.519 dated 28.08.2022, registered at Police Station Ambala Cantt., District Ambala, under Sections 120-B, 285 and 307 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR; that it is a case of no fire-arm injury; that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he had conducted recce of the place of occurrence.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that the petitioner being a member of the unlawful assembly, had actively participated in the crime and made an attempt to commit murder of the members of rival group.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has neither been named in the FIR nor any injury has been attributed to him. The challan has been presented. The petitioner has been in custody since 29.08.2022. The prosecution witnesses are yet to

be examined. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. There is no other case registered or pending against the petitioner. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

22.11.2022
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No