

COCp-2164-2022

Date of Decision :30.11.2022

Sukhwinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Arjun Kumar and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Bikramjit Singh Patwalia, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents states that the respondents have not passed any order terminating the services of the petitioners and that in case the petitioners report for duty, they would be taken back in service.

2. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioners, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

3. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners to report for duty within 48 hours of receipt of certified copy of order to the Manager (Engineering), Verka Milk Plant, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana and the Manager (Engineering), Verka Milk Plant, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana, is directed to adhere to the assurance held out by the learned counsel

appearing on his behalf and to take back the petitioners on duty

forthwith. Needless to mention, the period during which the petitioner's claim they were not allowed to work despite having served a legal notice would be considered for grant of salary by considering representation of the petitioners if any submitted within one week from date of receipt of certified copy of order by passing a speaking order within four weeks thereafter.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

30.11.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No