

Geeta Devi

...Petitioner

vs.

Sh. Ankur Gupta, IAS, Chairman

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for
 Mr. Deepankur Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Arshad Ali, Advocate for
 Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 17.04.2018, in CWP-2668-2018.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1, dated 17.04.2018, reveals that CWP-2668-2018 was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider the grievance of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 16.06.2017 and 25.10.2017 and take a conscious decision in respect thereto by passing a speaking order, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the competent authority came to the conclusion that the petitioner was entitled to the relief claimed, to release the same to her within 45 days thereafter.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent refers to order Annexure R2/1 dated 27.06.2018, rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of Annexure R2/1, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order Annexure

with law.

5. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.04.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No