

115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-809-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.12.2022

PARDEEP

... PETITIONER

VS.

ASHA SHARMA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. S.S.Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has assailed the order dated 27.10.2021 passed by the learned Family Court, vide which interim maintenance @ Rs.4,000/- per month has been awarded in favour of the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at the earlier instance, the petitioner was working with Myntra and getting Rs.9,000/- per month. Subsequently, he was out of job on account of Covid-19 Pandemic and is working as a Labourer. The amount of interim maintenance awarded is on higher side and not commensurate with the income and status of the petitioner.

The relationship between the parties has not been disputed. It has also not been disputed that no child has been born from the wedlock. The learned Court below has observed that petitioner is an able bodied person and is expected to earn at least minimum wages. It is incumbent upon the petitioner to provide maintenance and support to his wife. Even keeping in view the contingencies of employment and on the assumption that the petitioner is working as a casual Labourer, the amount of interim maintenance awarded cannot be termed to be on higher side.

No ground to interfere in the order passed by the learned Court below.

Dismissed.

14.12.2022

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No