

289 (1) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2622-2021

Date of Decision :02.06.2022

Satnam Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Anurag Verma, IAS and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 05.07.2021, in CWP-5829 of 2018

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 05.07.2021, reveals that CWP-5829 of 2018 was disposed of in terms of CWP-22985-2016, titled as Raghvir Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others and operative part of the same is reproduced as under:-

iii) All the petitioners be notified of the date, time and venue for re-measurement of height through email/ text message as well on the website of the department. Those who opt for re-measurement, the exercise for their re-measurement of height and the resultant changes concerning the concerned petitioners in selection shall be completed within the next one month.

iv) If any of the concerned aggrieved petitioners is/are selected, he/they be issued letters of appointment within the next two months. Candidates who have already undergone re-measurement test during pendency of proceedings would be given benefit thereof,if any, in accordance with re-measured height.

3. Learned Addl. AG, Punjab, has filed reply dated 30.05.2022 and refers to para Nos.7, 9, 10 & 11 of the same to contend that on re-measurement, petitioner's height has been found to be more than the height measured previously and that the petitioners name now figures at Sr. No.223 in the merit list of the Armed Police cadre and result in respect thereto has been uploaded on the official website of the department on 24.05.2022 and that process of allotment of constabulary numbers to the candidates/petitioners, who have come in the selection zone is going on and would be completed shortly. The aforesaid reply is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same while directing the respondents to take follow up action to issue constabulary number to the petitioner as per merit determined in a time bound manner.

5. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while directing the respondents to ensure release of

constabulary number to the petitioner in accordance with law as per merit determined as expeditiously as possible and in any case within two weeks of receipt of certified copy of the order.

02.06.2022

Amit

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(B.S. Walia)

Judge