

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 49975-2021
Reserved on 28.04.2022
Pronounced on: July 18, 2022

Suman DeviPetitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Deepak Singh Saini, Advocate for respondents No.7 to 18 & 20.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
199	04.10.2021	Shahzadpur, District Ambala	25, 54 and 59 of Arms Act read with Sections 148, 149, 285, 323, 447, 506 IPC

Aggrieved by improper, biased, and unfair investigation, the aggrieved person has come up before this court seeking appropriate directions. On Feb 25, 2022, the private respondents’ counsel informed this court that FIR had already been registered, rendering the matter infructuous. The petitioner filed a counter and re-iterated the allegations. A perusal of the petition and the counter reveals that all the allegations are either based on suspicion or there is no corroboration. Be that as it may, it is the right of every aggrieved person in our country to seek and expect a fair and impartial investigation. Given this, let the concerned SP, the 4th respondent, to either herself or by authorizing any ASP/DySP to look into the quality of investigation in the light of the petitioner's allegations and take appropriate steps, if so required. The said exercise must be completed expeditiously. In the case of non-redressal liberty reserved to the petitioner to take all legal remedies following the law.

Petition disposed of. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.07.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.