

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-49913-2021

Date of Decision: January 05, 2022

Mukesh Kumar @ Sony

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 30.11.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 30.11.2021, 14.12.2021 the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant also opposed the grant of bail on the ground that the petitioner is hooligan type of person, who doesn't know how to live peacefully in community and is threatening the victim.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 30.11.2021 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall not enter a radius of 01 km from the house of the victim till the recording of the statement of the victim.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

January 05, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
No