

206-5

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49935-2021

Date of decision-31.01.2022

Amanvir Singh @ Amna

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. B.S.Toor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.115 dated 30.04.2018 under Sections 323, 341, 427, 379-B, 307, 336, 148 and 149 Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, District Ludhiana, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

On 30.11.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is an accused in FIR No.115 dated 30.04.2018, under Sections 323, 341, 427, 379-B, 307, 336, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, District Ludhiana and in the said occurrence, the co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Mandeep Singh also suffered injuries and a cross case has also been registered through DDR No.26 dated 01.05.2018, under Sections 307, 323, 341, 336, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 Arms Act. According to him, the petitioner did not cause any injury to the injured and as per the allegations, he damaged the vehicle belonging to the complainant.

Notice of motion for 28.01.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and

*would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
To be heard along with CRM-M-41357-2021.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated himself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by ASI Bikramjit Singh states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 30.11.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

31.01.2022

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No