

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.123

**CWP-23517-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.10.2022**

Mahinder Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The Collector appointed respondent No.4 as the Lambardar. Aggrieved, the petitioner filed an appeal, which was allowed on the ground that respondent No.4 was guilty of theft of electricity. The said finding has been reversed by the Financial Commissioner in a revision filed by the fourth respondent and thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that respondent No.4 was residing with his father on the date of inspection carried out by the electricity department. He has signed the inspection report and thus, the Financial Commissioner was in error in reversing the finding of the appellate Court.

The submission cannot be accepted as admittedly, the electricity connection in the house of the father was in his name and the

the inspection report, no inference can be drawn in law that he was responsible for the theft. Apart from him, his brother was also residing in the said house alongwith other family members. The house is owned by the father. Under the circumstances, the Financial Commissioner was justified in reversing the order passed by the Commissioner.

No interference is called for.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

14.10.2022.
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No