

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49725-2021

Date of Decision: 25.02.2022

RANJIT SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. K.S.Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing due to COVID-19 pandemic.

On 29.11.2021, the following order was passed:-

“Ranjit Singh-Petitioner is seeking concession of anticipatory bail in the case bearing FIR No.207 dated 23.10.2021 under Sections 406, 420 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that allegedly the petitioner acted as Mediator in the marriage of Bhupinder Singh (co-accused) with the complainant, however, there is not a whisper in the FIR that the petitioner had acted as a Mediator in the marriage. Even, the petitioner is not remotely related to Bhupinder Singh (co-accused). He further contends that he is only a tenant under the parents of Bhupinder Singh (co-accused) and making payment of Rs.20,000/- per month on account of rent. He had only put the signatures on the marriage certificate being a tenant and as such acquainted with Bhupinder Singh (co-accused).

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabarwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)-State.

Adjourned to 25.02.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned State counsel has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspect(s) of the matter and has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Keeping in view the above, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 29.11.2021, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

25.02.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No