

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209 (2 cases)

CRM-M-45659-2022

Date of Decision: December 07, 2022

RACHIT CHOUDHARY

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CRM-M-50194-2022

SHAINA MALHOTRA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. G.S.Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. H. S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the complainant in CRM-M-45659-2022.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakshi, Advocate
for the complainant in CRM-M-50194-2022.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petitions have been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.473 dated 05.09.2022, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC at Police Station Thanesar City, District Kurukshetra.

Notice of motion was issued on 18.11.2022 and both the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court for recording their statements in the context of genuineness of the compromise. The trial Court was also directed to submit its report with regard to genuineness of the compromise.

CRM-M-45659-2022 and CRM-M-50194-2022 :2:

Today FIR No.473 dated 05.09.2022, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC at Police Station Thanesar City, District Kurukshetra, has been quashed on the basis of compromise by this Court in CRM-M-50105 of 2022.

In terms of order passed of even date in CRM-M-50105 of 2022 titled “Arvind Kamboj and others Vs. State of Haryana and another”, the present petitions have become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case file numbered above.

December 07, 2022
Rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No