

**126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA-4438-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.09.2022

Kuldeep Singh

....Appellant

Versus

Pooja

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ranvijay Singh, Advocate for
 Mr. Digvijay Singh Yadav, Advocate for the appellant

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Learned counsel representing the appellant fairly admits that the respondent has already re-married and therefore, he restricts his appeal to return of articles to the respondent. In this case, the marriage between the appellant and the respondent was annulled while deciding petition under Section 3 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006. He submits that the articles have been directed to be returned without concrete proof of those articles having been given at the time of marriage.

The respondent has produced invoices and given the list of articles. The direction is only to return the articles, which were proved to have been delivered. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

08.09.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE