

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 9388 of 2022

Date of Decision: 17th November, 2022

Anamika and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Amit Khari, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition) as they have solemnised marriage against the wishes of their parents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the petition, statements of the petitioners have been recorded wherein they have stated that they have no threat perception.

In view of the above, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of as infructuous.

It is clarified that this court has not commented on the validity of marriage and age of the petitioners.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]

JUDGE

17th November, 2022

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No