

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45392-2022

Date of Decision: 13th December, 2022

Rajesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This is second petition filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 267 dated 9.8.2019, under Section 20 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'the Act') registered at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala.

2. The main thrust for seeking regular bail is on the ground of custody period. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 9.8.2019.

3. The prayer is being opposed by learned State counsel. She on instructions from ASI Mukesh Kumar submits that out of total nineteen prosecution witnesses, four have been examined and an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining prosecution witnesses within four months from the date fixed in the trial Court.

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition at this stage.

- 5. Dismissed as withdrawn.
- 6. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for examining the prosecution witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

13th December, 2022
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No