

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-49774-2021(O&M)

Date of Decision:-09.05.2022

Sukhdev Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Kanwar Inder Singh, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.241 dated 01.11.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 326, 307, 341, 148, 149, 120-B and 379-B IPC registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

On 13.01.2022 the following order was passed:-

“ The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

Inter-alia relies on the grant of anticipatory bail by this Court to co-accused – Jagpreet Singh @ Jagga and Surab Singh @ Shobi who like the petitioner have also been attributed simple injuries.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Amandeep Singh Gill, Senior D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 09.05.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining

investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.241, dated 01.11.2019, registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 307, 341, 148, 149, 120-B & 379-B IPC at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

The Counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently she had mentioned that the petitioner has been attributed simple injuries, infact as per the reply of the State the injury attributed to the petitioner is an injury attracting Section 326 IPC. However, it is not denied that it is a case of version and cross version.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the interim order dated 13.01.2022 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Avtar Singh submits that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of orders dated 13.01.2022 and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In this view of the matter, interim order dated 13.01.2022 is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

May 09, 2022
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No