

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CWP-22503-2022

Date of Decision :28.09.2022

Urmila Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that though, in the impugned order dated 01.09.2022 (Annexure P/11) passed by the respondents, it has been mentioned that the petitioner be given show cause notice of 15 days so as to explain her position as to why her services should not be terminated but in the last paragraph of the said order, it has been mentioned that services should be terminated and office be informed about the same, which shows that the notice is given with the predetermined mind to terminate the services of the petitioner, which is contrary to the settled principle of law.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. A.G. Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that order dated 01.09.2022 passed by the respondents, is not happily worded but the intention of the

department is to give appropriate show cause notice to the employees concerned to be decided with open mind hence, as and when the show cause notice is issued to the petitioner, the objections raised by the petitioner in her reply will be considered in accordance with law without any predetermined motive and thereafter, an appropriate order, needed on the issue will be passed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition as no order causing prejudice to the petitioner has been passed by the respondents so far and apprehension of the petitioner has been clarified by the learned counsel for the respondents hence, the present petition may be disposed off having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

It is directed that no coercive action will be taken against the petitioner till the appropriate order is passed by the respondents.

September 28, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No