

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45259-2022

Date of decision : 09.11.2022

Mandeep Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. H.S. Dhandi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.223 dated 25.10.2021 registered under Sections 307, 294, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station City Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural.

On 29.09.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Inter alia, contends that in the present case, no injury has been caused to any person and no specific role has been attributed to the present petitioners. It is further submitted that the matter has also been compromised between the parties.

Notice of motion for 09.11.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and

shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 29.09.2022, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh, has submitted that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 29.09.2022 and also the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 29.09.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

09.11.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No