

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.124

CRWP-9393-2022

Date of decision : 29.9.2022

Rani and another

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr.DP Singla, Advocate for the petitioners

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners limits his prayer to the extent that the petitioners will be satisfied if respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 24.9.2022 (Annexure P-4), made by the petitioners in this regard and take appropriate action on the same.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and states that he has no objection in case respondent no.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 24.9.2022, Annexure P-4, made by the petitioner on the aspect of threat perception to him and his family members and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

In view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 24.9.2022, Annexure P-4, and decide the same expeditiously, in accordance with law.

29.9.2022

gsv

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No