

Jeenat

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.121 dated 20.05.2021 under Section 387, 506, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3 (2) (va), 33, 89 of the SC/ST Act 1989 registered at Police Station Sadar Tauru, District Nuh, who apprehends her arrest at the hands of Police.

On 26.11.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the allegations contained in the FIR are vague and lack the material particulars, as the date of the lease allegedly executed in favour of the complainant etc. is not mentioned. According to him, no particulars of the alleged threat or obstruction are given in the FIR and the dispute prima facie appears to be of civil nature, therefore,

custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary.

Notice of motion for 17.03.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated herself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by ASI Sanjay Kumar states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 26.11.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

17.03.2022
geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No