

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-22504-2022

Date of decision: 24.11.2022

Satish Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present: Mr. Ashwani Nagra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
with Mr. Saurabh Mago, AAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (oral)

Challenge is to the impugned order dated 21.09.2022 (Annexure P-5), whereby post/seat of Sarpanch in Gram Panchayat, village Bharog, has been kept unreserved.

Grievance of the petitioners is that village Bharog, reflected at serial No.9 of the order dated 21.09.2022 (Annexure P-5), is having Scheduled Caste population to the extent of 29.88%. However, post of Sarpanch in this village has not been reserved for SC category.

A perusal of the impugned order dated 21.09.2022 (Annexure P-5) shows that with respect to the reservation for SC category, first five (05) villages have been reserved, which had never been reserved earlier. Last village, which has never been reserved for SC category, is village Banoundi, which is having SC population to the extent of 12.58%. With

i.e. Village Maggarpura is having SC population to the extent of 38.26%, which is higher than village Bharog. Moreover, petitioner's village had earlier been reserved one time.

Hence, as per provisions of Rule 6 (6) of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, post of Sarpanch in village Bharog has rightly not been reserved for SC category. No ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

No merits. Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

24.11.2022
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No