

211-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49336-2021

Date of decision-19.01.2022

Sukhwinder Singh @ Sukhjinder Singh ...Petitioner
Vs.

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. APS Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.182 dated 07.10.2020 under Sections 420 and 120-B Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar Pathankot, District Pathankot. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 25.11.2021, whereby while issuing notice of motion, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“As per the allegations of the complainant, a sum of Rs.6 lacs was paid by him to the petitioner and co-accused Inderjit Singh for sending him abroad and the said transaction took place in the year 2018. As per the FIR itself, the complainant indeed went abroad and the present FIR has been lodged in the year 2020.

Notice of motion for 18.01.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the

event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Naresh Kumar does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 25.11.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.01.2022

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No