

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(257)

CRWP-11152-2021

Date of Decision : January 18, 2022

Sukhjinder Singh @ Bitta

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Ms. B.P.K Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

Present petition has been filed challenging the order passed by respondent No.3 by which the prayer of the petitioner for the grant of eight weeks parole has been declined on the basis of the report submitted by the police authorities dated 28.01.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing has been mentioned, which has prompted the police authorities to form an opinion that the petitioner will indulge himself again in illegal activities in case, he is granted the concession of parole. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there is no cogent evidence with the authorities to form the said opinion about the petitioner and the said report has been submitted without ascertaining the correct facts, which has been relied upon by respondent No.3 without any application of mind.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, a fresh report will be sought from the police with a period of eight weeks, on the prayer of the petitioner for the grant of parole.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the respondents have undertaken to pass a fresh order on the prayer of the petitioner for the grant of parole, no grievance of the petitioner, as raised in the present petition, survives any further and therefore, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

**January 18, 2022**  
*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No  
Whether reportable? : Yes/No