

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.221

CRM-M No.49300 of 2021
Date of Decision:11.01.2022

Sahid

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks the relief of regular bail in the criminal case arising out of the FIR bearing No.31 dated 07.06.2021 registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Faridabad, under Sections 406, 419, 420 IPC (wherein the offences under Sections 467, 468, 471, 201, 204 and 120 IPC as well as Sections 66C, 66D of the Information and Technology Act, 2000 are stated to have been added and offence under Section 406 IPC is stated to have been deleted later-on) with the allegations that he duped the complainant of an amount of Rs.99,900/-.

Reply filed on behalf of the respondent-State by way of the affidavit of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Crime, District

Faridabad, is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel in the present petition and have also perused the file thoroughly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case as some police officials have been nurturing a grudge against him and his family members due to the registration of a criminal case against them (police officials) with the allegations of killing his (petitioner's) maternal uncle while in custody and moreover, the Challan has already been presented in the Court and the petitioner is behind the bars since the date of his arrest i.e. 08.06.2021 and in these circumstances, he (petitioner) deserves the relief as prayed for in the present petition.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-referred factual position qua the registration of the said criminal case against the police officials, the period of the custody of the petitioner as well as regarding the presentation of Challan in the present case but she opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail on the ground of the nature of the offence committed in this case.

Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances as well as the fact that the trial of the case is likely to take sufficient time to conclude and without commenting or

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner named Sahid is hereby ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition in hand stands allowed accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

11.01.2022
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable?	Yes/No