

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49473 of 2021 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 2nd March, 2022

Inderjit Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Kumar, DAG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This is a petition seeking regular bail in FIR No. 144 dated 21.06.2021 under Section 304-A of IPC, 1860 (Section 304 of IPC added later on) and under Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

On 21.06.2021, ruqa was received by the police informing that in house of Inderjit Singh (petitioner), Harbir Singh @ Happy Sandhu, Varun and Mukul and two or three unknown persons were having fire arms and while playing with the weapons, the shot was fired which hit Happy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it was an accidental death. There is no motive or specific role attributed to the petitioner. Co-accused were granted bail by this Court. Petitioner is in custody since 2.7.2021.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail and submits that there was a recovery of a pistol and live cartridge. He further submits that petitioner is involved in one more FIR.

The petitioner is in custody since 2.7.2021. The role of the petitioner in the incident is debatable, as per the case set up it was case of accidental firing. No recovery is to be made, investigation is complete, challan stands presented but conclusion of trial is likely to take time, co-accused were granted bail by this Court. The involvement of the petitioner in other FIR itself would not be ground to deprive the personal liberty. Bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

Since the main case has been allowed, the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stands disposed of.

It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

2nd March, 2022
reema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>