

[122] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.2136 of 2022

Date of Decision :23.11.2022

Azad Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Sunil Dutt Verma, Executive Officer,
MC, Tapa, Distt. Barnala

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms.RojaAgnihotra, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in thepetition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 09.09.2021, in CWP No.17664 of 2021in case titled as '**Azad SinghversusState of Punjab and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.17664 of 2021 was disposed of vide order dated 09.09.2021 by directing the respondent to duly consider legal notice dated 26.07.2021 as representation filed by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, preferably within one month from the date of passing of the order and in case the petitioner was held entitled to the relief claimed and there was no impediment for granting the same, to release the same to him without any delay as per law.

[3] Learned counsel for the respondent has filed reply by way of affidavit along with speaking order (Annexure R-1) dated 02.02.2022, order (Anneuxre R-2) dated 13.10.2022, Annexure R-3 & R-4 dated

16.11.2022 and 21.11.2022 along with receipt (Annexure R-5) dated 17.11.2022 and 22.11.2022. The same are taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in view of the payment as claimed by the petitioner in legal notice dated 26.07.2021 having been made, except for interest, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to take out appropriate orders, in accordance with law to claim interest on the payments belatedly released to him.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.11.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No